

TITLE

The association between coat phenotype and morphology conducive to high running speeds in canis lupus familiaris

AUTHOR

Cleather, Daniel J.

JOURNAL

PeerJ Preprints

DATE DEPOSITED

22 January 2018

This version available at

<https://research.stmarys.ac.uk/id/eprint/2056/>

COPYRIGHT AND REUSE

Open Research Archive makes this work available, in accordance with publisher policies, for research purposes.

VERSIONS

The version presented here may differ from the published version. For citation purposes, please consult the published version for pagination, volume/issue and date of publication.

1

2 **The association between coat phenotype and morphology conducive to high running**
 3 **speeds in *canis lupus familiaris***

4 Daniel J Cleather

5 School of Sport, Health and Applied Sciences, St. Mary's University, Twickenham, UK

6

7 Daniel Cleather,

8 St. Mary's University,

9 Waldegrave Road,

10 Twickenham.

11 TW1 4SX

12 UK

13 Tel: +44 7973 873 516

14 Email: daniel.cleather@stmarys.ac.uk; dancleather@hotmail.com

15

16 **Word Count:** 1,034 = 2,171 – 69 (cover page) – 284 (abstract) – 118 (figure titles) – 620

17 (references) – 46 (author information)

18 **Abstract**

19 **The mechanics of animal locomotion has fascinated man for centuries. In particular,**
 20 **we have sought to understand why certain species are able to reach such prodigious**
 21 **running speeds (perhaps due to our woeful inadequacy in this area (Bramble &**
 22 **Lieberman, 2004)). Such investigations have focused on the role that functional anatomy**
 23 **and morphology play in facilitating the attainment of high running speeds (Williams,**
 24 **Payne & Wilson, 2007; Hudson et al., 2011). *Canis lupus familiaris*, or the domestic dog,**
 25 **serves as an excellent model for such investigations due to the great variation in running**
 26 **speeds exhibited across breeds, and there is a dense body of literature that has**
 27 **considered how the anatomy of certain canines has been adapted to the task of high**
 28 **speed running. Similarly, a great deal is known about the dog genome, and thus**
 29 **adaptations that are thought to be advantageous in the context of sprinting can be**
 30 **linked to their genetic basis (Mosher et al., 2007). Aerodynamics is one aspect of**
 31 **morphology that is known to be important for high speed running (Lull, 1904), yet**
 32 **despite this, the association between a dog's coat phenotype and the ability to run fast**
 33 **has not been determined. This omission is surprising given the influence of the surface**
 34 **properties of a body on its aerodynamics. Here I use the breed definitions of the**
 35 **American Kennel Club (American Kennel Club, 1998), to show, graphically, statistically**
 36 **and in prose, by reference to previous literature (Cadieu et al., 2009), and by using the**
 37 **mass/height ratio to indicate morphological adaptations for high speed running, that**
 38 **within the larger dog breeds (and in particular within breeds that are known for their**
 39 **running ability (Fischer & Lilje, 2011)), there is no association between coat phenotype**
 40 **and other morphological adaptations that are known to be conducive to high speed**
 41 **running ($\tau_B = -0.23, p = 0.04$).**

The study of animal locomotion has a rich and vibrant history that often attracts a great deal of public attention. For instance, the photographic studies (Muybridge, 1883, 1893) of Eadweard Muybridge (a hirsute gentleman born within barking distance of our university) are as popular as he was notorious (Clegg, 2007). Despite this interest, and the research that has sprung from it, our understanding of the way in which animal morphology facilitates movement is far from complete and there are a number of heavily debated issues and bones of contention. The purpose of this study was to address a surprising omission within the literature by considering the influence of an animal's coat on their ability to move at high speed.

The domestic dog is a unique model for studying the adaptations of an organism to its function and environment. Years of rigorous selective breeding mean that there is more morphological variation within the dog family than for any other carnivore (Wayne & vonHoldt, 2012). Similarly, dogs have been bred to perform a variety of functions, across a spectrum from working and racing to loafing and handbag dwelling. The dog model therefore represents an unrivalled opportunity to study the way in which the morphology of an animal is adapted to its function. Similarly, the canine model is highly useful in relating differences in morphology and behaviour to genetics (Wayne & Ostrander, 2007; Parker, Shearin & Ostrander, 2010).

There is a great range in the top speeds of different members of the dog family, with certain breeds being among the fastest of land animals (that is, among the top dogs). Consequently, the canine model is particularly useful for understanding how the morphology of an animal can be adapted to facilitate the attainment of high running speeds. For instance, the greyhound (a dog capable of reaching speeds of over 60 km/h (Usherwood & Wilson, 2005)) has been an attractive choice (fancied?) for biomechanists interested in the kinematics and

kinetics of locomotion (Jayes & Alexander, 1982; Usherwood & Wilson, 2005; Williams et al., 2009; Angle, Gillette & Weimar, 2012) and the functional anatomy involved in such performances (Williams et al., 2008; Webster, Hudson & Channon, 2014) (it should be noted that the popularity of greyhound racing may provide a pecuniary motivation for this work). Similarly, in recent years the canine model has been a productive workhorse for groups interested in identifying the genetic basis of morphological traits thought to facilitate or be indicative of the ability to run at high speed. These include body mass, size and limb length (Sutter et al., 2007; Boyko et al., 2010; Rimbault et al., 2013), increased muscle mass (Mosher et al., 2007) and skull shape (Schoenebeck et al., 2012).

One factor that has not been investigated is the impact of a dog's coat on their speed of locomotion. This is surprising given the impact of a body's surface properties on its aerodynamics (through a range of mediums). Given the importance of aerodynamics (Lull, 1904) at the types of speeds that the fastest dogs achieve it seems likely that such dogs will have short coats that create minimal wind resistance. Thus I hypothesized that there would be an association between coat phenotype and high running speed, with faster dogs having shorter coats and slower dogs having longer, thicker and generally shaggier coats.

It has been previously demonstrated that a dog's coat phenotype is governed by only 3 genes (Cadieu et al., 2009). Consequently, if a link between coat phenotype and high running speed could be established common sense suggests that this would signal new candidate genes that explain athletic performance. Such a finding is of importance given the rarity of such discoveries (Mosher et al., 2007) and the potential ramifications for the understanding of human medicine and performance (for instance, such a link would explain the high rate of baldness (another aerodynamic adaptation) within elite male sprinters – a phenomenon of both medical and sporting importance).

90 In this work, I used the classification of coat phenotype provided by Cadieu and colleagues
 91 (Cadieu et al., 2009) in order to quantify the relative thickness of coat of the breeds
 92 considered (a larger number being indicative of a less aerodynamic coat). The literature
 93 describing the maximum velocity of canine locomotion is sparse, making the quantification
 94 of the running velocity of each breed a more knotty problem, however, I was dogged in my
 95 search for solution. In the end I settled on the use of the mass/height ratio as a proxy to
 96 describe morphometry that is conducive to high speed running. This approach is based on the
 97 premise that a relatively lighter body mass with relatively longer legs is an adaptation that
 98 permits the achievement of greater running velocity (Parker & Ostrander, 2005) (an
 99 assumption which I believe has legs). In addition, I compared the characteristics of the sight
 100 hounds (a group of dogs defined by their adaptation for high speed running (Fischer & Lilje,
 101 2011)) to the wider population, hypothesizing that the coats of these breeds would be adapted
 102 for high speed running. Finally, I restricted this study to larger dog breeds (above 20 kg) as
 103 efficiency of locomotion does not seem to have been a trait that has been desired by fanciers
 104 of the lap dog. Examples of the breeds considered in this study are presented in Figure 1.


Figure 1. Examples of the variation in coat phenotype and mass/height (M/H) ratio for the breeds considered in this study. The dogs in the first row are all sight hounds, a group defined by its adaptations for high speed running (Fischer & Lilje, 2011). The dogs in the second row are other breeds not thought to be adapted for feats of great running speed.



In reporting the results of this study, I was keen to emulate the concision of Dennis Upper's (Upper, 1974) seminal work in applied behavioural analysis, as this approach leads to an exemplary clarity of message (not least by eliminating arguments that are too woolly). In addition, staying up to date with the academic literature is a Sisphyean task (I for one am constantly chasing my tail), and the last thing I wanted to do was to waste anyone's time. To this end, the statement of results and their interpretation is cut intentionally short. Firstly,

116 there was no association between coat phenotype and mass/height ratio ($\tau_B = -0.23$, $p = 0.04$;
 117 Figure 2). Secondly, the distribution of coat phenotypes among the sight hounds was not
 118 different to that of the other breeds ($\chi^2(6) = 5.00$, $p = 0.54$). These findings may indicate that
 119 there is no association between coat phenotype and morphology conducive to high speed
 120 running and that to make such a suggestion is barking up the wrong tree. Although it might
 121 seem far-fetched, Occam's Razor seems to suggest that there may be other factors that
 122 explain the variation in coat phenotype within the dog kingdom (although it seems to me that
 123 a credible alternative explanation is that contemporary statistical tools lack the power to
 124 establish such an association).

Figure 2. The association between coat phenotype and morphology conducive to high speed running in 51 larger dog breeds. The mass/height ratio (y-axis) is used as a proxy for morphology conducive to high speed running (smaller values indicating a greater adaptation for high speed running). Breeds that lie towards the right of the figure are increasingly shaggy.



132 **References**

- 133 American Kennel Club. 1998. *The complete dog book*. New York: Howell Book House.
- 134 Angle T., Gillette R., Weimar W. 2012. Kinematic analysis of maximal movement initiation
135 in Greyhounds. *Australian Veterinary Journal* 90:60–68. DOI: 10.1111/j.1751-
136 0813.2011.00892.x.
- 137 Boyko AR., Quignon P., Li L., Schoenebeck JJ., Degenhardt JD., Lohmueller KE., Zhao K.,
138 Brisbin A., Parker HG., vonHoldt BM., Cargill M., Auton A., Reynolds A., Elkhouloun
139 AG., Castelhana M., Mosher DS., Sutter NB., Johnson GS., Novembre J., Hubisz
140 MJ., Siepel A., Wayne RK., Bustamante CD., Ostrander EA. 2010. A Simple Genetic
141 Architecture Underlies Morphological Variation in Dogs. *PLoS Biology* 8. DOI:
142 10.1371/journal.pbio.1000451.
- 143 Bramble DM., Lieberman DE. 2004. Endurance running and the evolution of Homo. *Nature*
144 432:345–352. DOI: 10.1038/nature03052.
- 145 Cadieu E., Neff MW., Quignon P., Walsh K., Chase K., Parker HG., VonHoldt BM., Rhue
146 A., Boyko A., Byers A., Wong A., Mosher DS., Elkhouloun AG., Spady TC., André
147 C., Lark KG., Cargill M., Bustamante CD., Wayne RK., Ostrander EA. 2009. Coat
148 Variation in the Domestic Dog Is Governed by Variants in Three Genes. *Science*
149 (*New York, N.Y.*) 326:150–153. DOI: 10.1126/science.1177808.
- 150 Clegg B. 2007. *The Man Who Stopped Time:: The Illuminating Story of Eadweard*
151 *Muybridge-Pioneer Photographer, Father of the Motion Picture, Murderer*. Joseph
152 Henry Press.
- 153 Fischer MS., Lilje KE. 2011. *Dogs in Motion*. VDH Service GmbH.

- 154 Hudson PE., Corr SA., Payne- Davis RC., Clancy SN., Lane E., Wilson AM. 2011.
- 155 Functional anatomy of the cheetah (*Acinonyx jubatus*) hindlimb. *Journal of Anatomy*
- 156 218:363–374. DOI: 10.1111/j.1469-7580.2010.01310.x.
- 157 Jayes AS., Alexander RM. 1982. Estimates of mechanical stresses in leg muscles of galloping
- 158 Greyhounds (*Canis familiaris*). *Journal of Zoology* 198:315–328. DOI:
- 159 10.1111/j.1469-7998.1982.tb02078.x.
- 160 Lull RS. 1904. Adaptations to Aquatic, Arboreal, Fossorial and Cursorial Habits in
- 161 Mammals. IV. Cursorial Adaptations. *The American Naturalist* 38:1–11.
- 162 Mosher DS., Quignon P., Bustamante CD., Sutter NB., Mellersh CS., Parker HG., Ostrander
- 163 EA. 2007. A Mutation in the Myostatin Gene Increases Muscle Mass and Enhances
- 164 Racing Performance in Heterozygote Dogs. *PLoS Genet* 3:e79. DOI:
- 165 10.1371/journal.pgen.0030079.
- 166 Muybridge E. 1883. The attitudes of animals in motion. *Journal of the Franklin Institute*
- 167 115:260–274. DOI: 10.1016/0016-0032(83)90276-4.
- 168 Muybridge E. 1893. *Descriptive zoopraxography, or The science of animal locomotion made*
- 169 *popular*. University of Pennsylvania.
- 170 Parker HG., Ostrander EA. 2005. Canine Genomics and Genetics: Running with the Pack.
- 171 *PLoS Genet* 1:e58. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pgen.0010058.
- 172 Parker HG., Shearin AL., Ostrander EA. 2010. Man’s Best Friend Becomes Biology’s Best in
- 173 Show: Genome Analyses in the Domestic Dog. *Annual Review of Genetics* 44:309–
- 174 336. DOI: 10.1146/annurev-genet-102808-115200.
- 175 Rimbault M., Beale HC., Schoenebeck JJ., Hoopes BC., Allen JJ., Kilroy-Glynn P., Wayne
- 176 RK., Sutter NB., Ostrander EA. 2013. Derived variants at six genes explain nearly
- 177 half of size reduction in dog breeds. *Genome Research* 23:1985–1995. DOI:
- 178 10.1101/gr.157339.113.

- 179 Schoenebeck JJ., Hutchinson SA., Byers A., Beale HC., Carrington B., Faden DL., Rimbault
- 180 M., Decker B., Kidd JM., Sood R., Boyko AR., Fondon JW III., Wayne RK.,
- 181 Bustamante CD., Ciruna B., Ostrander EA. 2012. Variation of BMP3 Contributes to
- 182 Dog Breed Skull Diversity. *PLoS Genet* 8:e1002849. DOI:
- 183 10.1371/journal.pgen.1002849.
- 184 Sutter NB., Bustamante CD., Chase K., Gray MM., Zhao K., Zhu L., Padhukasahasram B.,
- 185 Karlins E., Davis S., Jones PG., Quignon P., Johnson GS., Parker HG., Fretwell N.,
- 186 Mosher DS., Lawler DF., Satyaraj E., Nordborg M., Lark KG., Wayne RK., Ostrander
- 187 EA. 2007. A Single IGF1 Allele Is a Major Determinant of Small Size in Dogs.
- 188 *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 316:112–115. DOI: 10.1126/science.1137045.
- 189 Upper D. 1974. The unsuccessful self-treatment of a case of “writer’s block.” *Journal of*
- 190 *Applied Behavior Analysis* 7:497–497.
- 191 Usherwood JR., Wilson AM. 2005. Biomechanics: no force limit on greyhound sprint speed.
- 192 *Nature* 438:753–754. DOI: 10.1038/438753a.
- 193 Wayne RK., Ostrander EA. 2007. Lessons learned from the dog genome. *Trends in Genetics*
- 194 23:557–567. DOI: 10.1016/j.tig.2007.08.013.
- 195 Wayne RK., vonHoldt BM. 2012. Evolutionary genomics of dog domestication. *Mammalian*
- 196 *Genome* 23:3–18. DOI: 10.1007/s00335-011-9386-7.
- 197 Webster EL., Hudson PE., Channon SB. 2014. Comparative functional anatomy of the
- 198 epaxial musculature of dogs (*Canis familiaris*) bred for sprinting vs. fighting. *Journal*
- 199 *of Anatomy* 225:317–327. DOI: 10.1111/joa.12208.
- 200 Williams SB., Wilson AM., Rhodes L., Andrews J., Payne RC. 2008. Functional anatomy
- 201 and muscle moment arms of the pelvic limb of an elite sprinting athlete: the racing
- 202 greyhound (*Canis familiaris*). *Journal of Anatomy* 213:361–372. DOI:
- 203 10.1111/j.1469-7580.2008.00961.x.

204 Williams SB., Usherwood JR., Jespers K., Channon AJ., Wilson AM. 2009. Exploring the

205 mechanical basis for acceleration: pelvic limb locomotor function during

206 accelerations in racing greyhounds (*Canis familiaris*). *Journal of Experimental*

207 *Biology* 212:550–565. DOI: 10.1242/jeb.018093.

208 Williams SB., Payne RC., Wilson AM. 2007. Functional specialisation of the pelvic limb of

209 the hare (*Lepus europeus*). *Journal of Anatomy* 210:472–490. DOI: 10.1111/j.1469-

210 7580.2007.00704.x.

211

212 **Author Information** The author declares that he has no competing financial interests,

213 although he does confess to an understandable antipathy towards the owners of lap dogs.

214 Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to the author

215 (daniel.cleather@stmarys.ac.uk).

216